Practioner's Decket

U 013887-9

282 F

**PATENT** 

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

-			• •	. •	_
I'n	re	ann	lıca	tion	O.T
		upp	1100		O 1

Sung-Fei WANG, et al.

Serial No.:

10/087,432

Group No.:

2827

Filed:

March 1, 2002

Examiner:

J. M. Mitchell

For:

STACKED SEMICONDUCTOR CHIP PACKAGE

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

**WARNING:** 

Failure to file a complete response in compliance with  $\S$  1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term

adjustment - See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

#### **STATUS**

		Ü.,												
2.	The aj	The application is qualified as												
		a small entity.												
	$\boxtimes$	other than a small entity.												
•		CERTIFICATION UND (When using Express Mail, the Exp Express Mail cer	press Mail labe	number is <b>mandatory</b> ;										
I hereby	certify th	nat, on the date shown below, this correspo	ndence is being	:										
		MA	AILING	·										
⊠	-	ed with the United States Postal Service in a Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	an envelope add	ressed to the Commissioner for Pate	ents, P. O. Box									
		37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)		37 C.F.R. 1.10*										
⊠	with su	fficient postage as first class mail.		as "Express Mail Post Office to Mailing Label No.										
		TRAN	SMISSION	91	•									
	transmi	tted by facsimile to the Patent and Tradema	ark Office. to (7	708) 872-9306										
Date:	June 1,	2004	Signa	ture										
			_Will	iam R. Evans										
			(type	or print name of person certifying)										

Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

#### **EXTENSION OF TERM**

NOTE:	"Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed
	after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional
	amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

- NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. §1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.
- NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b)"... an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."
- 3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension	Fee for other than	Fee for
(months)	small entity	small entity
one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
two months	\$ 420.00	\$ 210.00
three months	\$ 950.00	\$ 475.00
four months	\$ 1,480.00	\$ 740.00
five months	\$ 2,010.00	\$ 1,005.00

Fee: \$\_\_\_\_\_

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for _	months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of
\$	is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension
now requested.	

OR

Extension fee due with this request \$ \_\_\_\_\_

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

#### FEE FOR CLAIMS

The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below: 4.

	(Col. 1) (Col. 2) (Col. 3)			SMALL ENTITY		OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY			
	Re	Claims maining After tendment	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee	OR	Rate	Addit. Fee
Total	*	Minus	**	=	x \$ 9=	\$		x \$ 18=	\$
Indep.	*	Minus	***	=	x \$ 43=	\$		x \$ 86=	\$
□First	Preser	ntation of M	Iultiple Depend	lent Claims	+ \$145=	\$		+ \$290=	\$
		Total Addit. Fee				\$	OR	Total Addit. Fee	\$

- If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "O" in Col. 3,
- If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".

  If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".

  The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

**WARNING:** 

(d)

"After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. 1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

 $\boxtimes$ No additional fee for claims is required. (c)

OR

Total additional fee for claims required \$ \_\_\_\_\_

			F	FEE I	PAYMENT	
_	_	 _	 _			

5.	Attached is a check in the sum of \$	
	Charge Account No. 12-0425 the sum of \$	
	A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.	

#### FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 12-0425.

Customer No.

#### AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 12-0425

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No.

William R. Evans, 25858, (212) 708-1930 (type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No.

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61 Street New York, N.Y. 10023





## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Sung-Fei WANG, et al.

Serial No .:

10/087,432

Group No.:

2827

Filed:

March 1, 2002

Examiner:

J. M. Mitchell

For:

STACKED SEMICONDUCTOR CHIP PACKAGE

Attorney Docket No.: U 013887-9

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

## REQUEST FOR NEW ACTION <u>and</u> **AMENDMENT**

The Action of March 1, 2004, is on claims 1-4. However, claims 1-4 were canceled and replaced by claims 5-10 in the Preliminary Amendment of April 24, 2002. This was recognized by the Action of December 17, 2002, on claims 5-10.

The application now has claims 5-11 by the RESPONSE TO ACTION OF DECEMBER 17, 2002, of March 17, 2003. A copy the postcard receipt of March 17, 2003, is attached.

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

**MAILING** 

 $\boxtimes$ 

deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: June 1, 2004

**FACSIMILE** 

transpellted by facsimile to the Patent and rademark Office to (708) 872-9306

William R. Evans

(type or print name of person certifying)

A translator-attested English translation of the priority application was filed November 12, 2003, in support of the priority claim. The priority application supports the application, but does not change it.



# **BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

U 013887-9

March 17, 2003

In re:

Sung-Fei WANG, et al.

Serial No.:

10/087,432

Group No.:

2827

Filed:

March 1, 2,002

Examiner:

J. Mitchell

For:

STACKED SEMICONDUCTOR CHIP PACKAGE

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL; RESPONSE TO ACTION OF DECEMBER 17,

2002

Filed

WRE:os